

URN:



Initial Details Pros Case

18/06/2026

V2

Rex
v

Samuel William Howard ROBINSON-ADAMS

CROWN PROSECUTION SERVICE

Rex

v

Samuel William Howard ROBINSON-ADAMS

Charges



OFFICIAL – SENSITIVE
(WHEN COMPLETED)

Generated Date: 18/06/2026

MG4 Charges	
Casefile Reference	[REDACTED]
Custody Reference	[REDACTED]
Custody Station	Charing Cross 01CX
OIC	DC 01 [REDACTED]
AS Number	[REDACTED]
Interpreter involved?	No
Interpreter Name/Language Translated	
First Language	
First Arrest Date	17/06/2026 09:41

Defendant Name	Samuel William ROBINSON-ADAMS
Defendant Date of Birth	18/08/1996
Defendant self-defined Ethnicity	White And Asian
Defendant Address	182 STOKE NEWINGTON CHURCH STREET, HACKNEY, LONDON, HACKNEY, N16 0JL

Charges




You are Charged with the Offence(s) shown below. You do not have to say anything, but it may harm your defence if you do not mention now something, which you later rely on in court. Anything you do say may be given in evidence.

Charge	CCCJS Offence Code
On 12/04/2026 at London in the Borough of Hackney, assaulted Oliver BARBINGTON WILSON, thereby occasioning him actual bodily harm Contrary to section 47 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.	OF61102
On 01/01/2026 at LONDON in the Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham repeatedly or continuously engaged in behaviour which was controlling or coercive, namely tracking the complainant's location, sleep schedules, and making a chart of this information to capture when the complainant was and was not replying to you, towards Oliver BABINGTON-WILSON, to whom, at the time of the behaviour, you were personally connected in that you were in a romantic relationship, that behaviour having a serious effect on him and you knew or ought to have known that the behaviour would have a serious effect on him Contrary to section 76(1) and (11) of the Serious Crime Act 2015.	SC15004
Between 12/04/2026 and 11/06/2026 at LONDON in the Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham pursued a course of conduct, namely repeatedly attending the victim's home address, which amounted to stalking causing Oliver BARBINGTON WILSON serious alarm or distress, which had a substantial adverse effect on his usual day-to-day activities when you knew or ought to have known that your course of conduct would cause alarm or distress	PH97011

Charges

You are Charged with the Offence(s) shown below. You do not have to say anything, but it may harm your defence if you do not mention now something, which you later rely on in court. Anything you do say may be given in evidence.

Charge	CCCJS Offence Code
Contrary to section 4A(1)(a)(b)(ii) and (5) of the Protection from Harassment Act 1997.	
Between 24/05/2026 and 25/05/2026 at LONDON in the Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham intentionally shared photographs which showed, or appeared to show, another person, namely Oliver BARBINGTON WILSON, in an intimate state, with the intention of causing that person alarm, distress or humiliation, that person not having consented to the said sharing	SX03250
Contrary to section 66B(2) and (10) of the Sexual Offences Act 2003.	

Charge Date/Time	18/06/2026 03:12
Reply after Charge	
Defendant Signature	
Appropriate Adult Present	No
Appropriate Adult Signature	
Interpreter Present	
Interpreter Signature	
Charging Officer	DC 01 
Officer in Case	DC 01 
Accepting Officer	Sgt 01 

Remand

Appearing At	Westminster Magistrates' Court 181 Marylebone Road London NW1 5BR
On	18/06/2026 10:00

CROWN PROSECUTION SERVICE

Rex

v

Samuel William Howard ROBINSON-ADAMS

Case Summary

OFFICIAL - SENSITIVE (when complete)

MG5

POLICE REPORT

Case reference: [REDACTED]

Defendant(s)

Name/Organisation Name
Plea

Samuel William
ROBINSON-ADAMS
Guilty

1. Summary of the Key Evidence

PRECIS

This case is into the offence of stalking, whereby the suspect ADAMS has attended the victims, WILSON's address multiple times after being told to leave. The suspect has also sent hundreds of email to the victim, amounting to stalking.

The offence of Assault by Actual Bodily Harm on 12th APRIL 2026 from ADAMS against WILSON.

The offence of ADAMS sending intimate images of WILSON to his new partners father without consent.

The offence of Harassment as ADAMS has consistently breached his bail conditions by indirectly contacting WILSON

The offence of Coercive and Controlling Behaviour

PARITES INVOLVED

Suspect

Samuel ROBINSON ADAMS
DOB: 18/08/1996
Relationship to victim - ex partner

Victim

Oliver BARBINGTON WILSON

Witness

Heather AGATHER

Mother of victim's current partner

Police witness

DC [REDACTED] interviewing officer
PC [REDACTED] arresting officer
PC [REDACTED] witnessing officer

OFFICIAL - SENSITIVE (when complete)

OFFICIAL - SENSITIVE (when complete)

MG5

DC [REDACTED] OIC

PC [REDACTED] Arresting Officer

BACKGROUND

WILSON and ADAMS have known each other for 5 years and have been in a relationship during this period. The relationship ended in April 2026.

CIRCUMSTANCES

STALKING:

On Wednesday 27/05/2026 at approximately 2223 hours, police were called to [REDACTED] following reports that a male, later identified as Samuel Robinson ADAMS, had attended the address of his ex-partner, WILSON, and was refusing to leave.

WILSON currently resides at [REDACTED] with his new partner, Sam CHERNY, where he has lived for the last two years. Also at this address is Heather AGATHER, who is Sam CHERNY's mother. AGATHER has provided a statement describing what she witnessed on 27th MAY 2026 regarding ADAMS turning up to their home address. AGATHER describes that at around 21:45HRS, she was at home with WILSON and her family when she heard the ring doorbell and saw ADAMS was at their gate. ADAMS rang the doorbell 64 times. AGATHER answered the ringing and said YOU ARE HARRASSING US YOU NEED TO LEAVE YOU HAVE A POLICE REPORT AGAINST YOU." ADAMS replied, "DID YOU KNOW OLIVER WAS CHEATING ON ME I DID NOT." Via the ring doorbell camera, ADAMS was seen jumping over the wooden gate and AGATHER called the police.

DC [REDACTED] has uploaded an overarching statement which outlines the dates ADAMS has emailed WILSON. The emails have been uploaded to one document and exhibited as CAA/2.

INTIMATE IMAGES:

WILSON later disclosed to police officers that ADAMS had sent intimate images of WILSON to Sam CHERNY's family. AGATHER, Sam CHERNY's mother, was spoken to over the phone on 28th MAY 2026 regarding the issue of intimate images. AGATHER explained that her husband was the one who received the intimate photos of WILSON and only Sam CHERNY and AGATHER's husband had seen the photos. AGATHER's husband is not available at this time to provide a statement regarding the images received from ADAMS of WILSON but will do so at a later date. The sender accounts were identified as Instagram username "samwhaes" and Facebook account "samrobinson-adams". AGATHER stated that her husband described the images to her, but she herself has not seen them. AGATHER was told that these were intimate photos of WILSON including photos of his penis.

AGATHER also told police that her 16 year old daughter, [REDACTED] CHERNY, the sister of Sam CHERNY, did receive a message from ADAMS via Instagram stating, [REDACTED] CHERNY I HAVE SENT YOU A MESSAGE ABOUT MY BOYFRIEND OLI, MY PHOTO OF WHOM YOU LIKED ON INSTAGRAM. I

OFFICIAL - SENSITIVE (when complete)

OFFICIAL - SENSITIVE (when complete)

MG5

SWEAR I WILL GIVE YOU MY FIRST BORN SON IF YOU CAN HELP ME WITH THE QUESTIONS THAT I HAVE (HAND EMOJI) IN PERFERENCEIS I AM TAGGING YOU IN THIS POST BECAUSE I THINK FACEBOOK MIGHT SHOW YOU DESPITE US BEING FRIENDS. AGATHER then told [REDACTED] to block ADAMS on all social media so he could not send her anything else. A statement has not been taken from [REDACTED] at this stage.

ASSAULT:

WILSON stated that on 12/04/2026, he had been assaulted by ADAMS outside Stoke Newington Overground Station. During this incident, ADAMS struck WILSON to the head, causing a cut to his forehead. This incident was not reported to police at the time. WILSON confirmed that the relationship ended following this assault. Following ADAM's on 27/05/2026, he made a significant statement to PC [REDACTED] whilst enroute to Hammersmith Police Station. This statement was recorded in PC [REDACTED]'s PNB and signed by ADAMS. In that statement, ADAMS stated:

"At around 2300 hours on 27/05/2026 I was informed by Samuel in a police car en route to Hammersmith Police Station that he had assaulted Oliver by 'rapping' on the head whilst holding my mobile in my hand, leaving a laceration. This was during an argument on the 12th April outside Stoke Newington Overground Station."

ADAMS signed this entry in the officer's PNB, confirming the account.

HARASSMENT

Since ADAMS was bailed, he has consistently contacted relatives and acquaintances of WILSON'S, asking them to pass on messages to him. These emails happen on a daily basis and ADAMS sends several a day, including stating how much he is still in love with WILSON.

CCB

During WILSON'S VRI, he disclosed coercive and controlling behaviour displayed by ADAMS throughout their relationship. A further description of this is detailed below under VRI summary.

ADAMS was further arrested for CCB and Harassment on 17TH JUNE 2026 by PC [REDACTED]

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Date: 28/05/2026

Interviewing officer: DC [REDACTED]

ADAMS provided a largely full and detailed account. He admitted to the assault on 12/04/2026 outside Stoke Newington Overground Station, stating he struck WILSON to the head with his hand whilst holding his phone, describing the act as a "sharp rap." He acknowledged causing injury to his forehead which caused a bleed, expressed regret, and confirmed this

OFFICIAL - SENSITIVE (when complete)

OFFICIAL - SENSITIVE (when complete)

MG5

account was consistent with the signed significant statement made. He stated he reacted after WILSON had been shouting in his face. ADAMS stated he attempted to walk away and WILSON would follow him though he accepted that WILSON was unlikely to become physically violent.

In relation to allegations of stalking, ADAMS admitted attending WILSON's address on two occasions following the relationship breakdown in April 2026, including on 27/05/2026. He confirmed he was aware WILSON had asked him not to make contact, including via a formal written message, but stated he continued to attend the address and send frequent emails described as daily because he was "pissed off" and wanted to confront WILSON and his partner regarding the perceived dishonesty in the relationship. He accepted that his actions may have caused distress but maintained that he felt the contact was justified to resolve matters.

Regarding the incident on 27/05/2026, ADAMS admitted shouting outside the address in an attempt to gain attention, including making statements to WILSON's partner about alleged cheating. He denied making threats or intending any violence and stated he remained outside the property, noting the gate was open but that he did not enter.

In relation to the malicious communications allegation, ADAMS denied sending any explicit images of WILSON. He admitted contacting WILSON's partner's mother and WILSON's partner's 16-year-old sister but stated that he only sent audio recordings and messages intended to demonstrate the nature of his relationship with WILSON. He accepted that some of the content he shared contained strong language and sexual references originating from WILSON but denied sending any indecent images. He confirmed ownership and sole use of the relevant Instagram and Facebook accounts.

Overall, ADAMS admitted the assault and most elements of the stalking behaviour including continuing contact despite being instructed not to. He denied any malicious intent in relation to communications and disputed the seriousness of his conduct in

terms of harassment.

INTERVIEW SUMMARY- 17TH JUNE 2026

ADAMS was interviewed by T/DC [REDACTED] and T/DC [REDACTED] on 17th June 2026 at CHARING CROSS POLICE STATION in the presence of an Appropriate Adult.

When the significant comment made upon his arrest was put to ADAMS, he stated it was a true record of what was said.

Regarding the CCB allegation, ADAMS stated that he asked WILSON briefly to share his location as he would disappear for long periods of time. ADAMS stated that he "wishes I could coerce or control Oilly". Regarding the sleep tracking, ADAMS stated that he only noticed when he was asleep or awake as Oliver did not always reply when he was awake. ADAMS stated that "I think most boyfriends or girlfriends have an idea of each other's circadian rhythms." ADAMS mentioned that Oliver had a bad childhood and feels controlled by this stuff and analysed his sleep schedule in retrospect.

OFFICIAL - SENSITIVE (when complete)

OFFICIAL - SENSITIVE (when complete)

MG5

When shown CAA/3, T/DC [REDACTED] asked ADAMS to explain the graph. ADAMS stated that this is the result of his continuous glucose monitoring data that Oliver gave him and Oliver's reply times on Facebook. The very light squares indicate the times ADAMS and Oliver did not speak to each other. The darker squares are when ADAMS messaged Oliver and Oliver had not replied. ADAMS stated that this graph was done in an attempt to figure out when Oliver was awake and what was going on with him at the time. ADAMS stated that he was concerned about Oliver potentially being involved in prostitution. ADAMS stated that if Oliver did not reply to his Facebook messages, he would text or call him instead. ADAMS then stated that "this wasn't the craziest thing". When asked to elaborate, ADAMS stated that for a month he went over their texts, when Oliver was awake, his glucose data and did admit this made him feel 'creepy'.

Regarding the breach of bail conditions and harassment, ADAMS stated he was aware of his bail conditions but did not knowingly breach them when he sent an email on 4TH JUNE which is exhibit CAA/4. ADAMS stated he used the email address [REDACTED] and Oliver and his aunt have the passwords. ADAMS stated that sending the email indirectly was a legal formality as it was regarding defamation. ADAMS stated that he hoped the message would be passed on to Oliver and was aware it might constitute a breach.

When asked about the effect of his behaviour on Oliver, ADAMS stated that he assumed it would effect him. ADAMS stated that he still loves Oliver and believes he is still in some kind of a relationship with Oliver. ADAMS stated that he will continue his behaviour as he hopes and believes he will reconcile with Oliver.

Witness(es) and their role (e.g., eye witness, person providing identity):

Surname / Police Witness/ Victim Organisation name	Forenames	Key Witness Status	What was the Role of the witness
BABINGTON-WILSON	Oliver	Key	Victim
Evidence Summary	MG11 statement		
AGATHER	Heather	Key	Witness
Evidence Summary	Mg11 statement		
DC 01 [REDACTED]		Key	WITNESSING OFFICER
Evidence Summary	Unclear at this stage		
PC 01 [REDACTED]		Key	ARRESTING OFFICE

OFFICIAL - SENSITIVE (when complete)

OFFICIAL - SENSITIVE (when complete)

MG5

Evidence Summary MG11 arrest statement

DC 01 [REDACTED] Key WITNESSING OFFICER

Evidence Summary Overarching MG11

2. Defendant Interview

Name of the Defendant Samuel ROBINSON-ADAMS

Has an interview been conducted? Yes

Date of Interview 28/05/2026

Interviewing officer/s DC 01 [REDACTED] Others Present Appropriate Adult [REDACTED]

No Comment? No Prepared Statement Read? No

Shown CCTV No Shown BWV No

Interview Summary Please see facutal summary

3. Non-Key Evidence

Surname / Police Witness/ Victim Organisation name	Forenames	Key Witness Status	What was the Role of the witness
DC 01 [REDACTED] Evidence Summary	Interviewing officer	Non-Key	WITNESSING OFFICER
PC 01 [REDACTED] Evidence Summary	BWV video and statement	Non-Key	WITNESSING OFFICER
PC 01 [REDACTED] Evidence Summary	Arrest statement	Non-Key	ARRESTING OFFICE

4. Visually Recorded Evidence

Media Type Other digital media
 Title/Summary include owner and location of camera/device HAA/25HAA/25
 Is it key evidence Yes
 Still photos? Yes
 In Viewable Format Yes
 Describe in detail what it shows with timings identifying what section is key Image of ADAMS outside victim address on 27/05/2026

OFFICIAL - SENSITIVE (when complete)

MG5

5. Injuries

Name of the Victim/Witness:	Oliver BABINGTON-WILSON
Are there any injuries?	No
Name of the Victim/Witness:	Heather AGATHER
Are there any injuries?	

6. Forensic Evidence

Forensic Evidence Available	No
Forensic Evidence Details	

7. DIP testing

Defendant Name	Samuel ROBINSON-ADAMS
DIP Tested	No
Trigger Offence	
Result	
Drug	

8. Application for Order(s) on Conviction

Defendant Name	Samuel ROBINSON-ADAMS
Apply for Order on Conviction	No

9. Application for Compensation – state if an estimate. Attach quotes/receipts if available. An address for compensation **must** be provided on MG6. *(If more than one victim/defendant, list one after the other and give details in the description box).*

Victim Name	Oliver BABINGTON-WILSON
Victim Applied for Compensation	No
Compensation Amount	
Compensation Estimate	
Compensation Form Sent to Victim	No
Damage Details	

10. Other

(MG18) TICs? No

OFFICIAL - SENSITIVE (when complete)

OFFICIAL - SENSITIVE (when complete)

MG5

11. Officer's certification: I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief, I have not withheld any material that might reasonably be expected to undermine the prosecution or might reasonably assist the defence in early preparation of their case, including the making of a bail application. I further certify that relevant material has been recorded and retained in accordance with the CPIA 1996, Code of Practice, as amended.

Name & rank/job title: DC 01 [REDACTED]

Date: 18/06/2026

12. Supervisor's certification: I can confirm that this is an accurate summary of the available evidence and complies with the National File Standard and DPP's guidance.

Name & rank/job title: Det Sgt 01 [REDACTED]

Date: 18/06/2026

YOU MUST ALWAYS COMPLETE CONDITIONAL CAUTIONING SECTION BELOW.

Defendant Name

Samuel ROBINSON-ADAMS

Not Suitable for Conditional Caution:

The suspect has no relevant previous offending history however his behaviour has been escalating with 4 offences being committed within a short space of time. He has continued to harass the victim and victim's family whilst on police bail, breaching the conditions set out.

CROWN PROSECUTION SERVICE

Rex

v

Samuel William Howard ROBINSON-ADAMS

Key Witness Statements

STATEMENT/EVIDENCE LIST

URN: 

Rex
v

Samuel William Howard ROBINSON-ADAMS

No	Statement(s), deposition(s) and document(s) (other than exhibits)	Statement Date	Page
1	Oliver BABINGTON-WILSON	27/05/2026	1-2
	- Ditto -	28/05/2026	3
2	Heather AGATHER	28/05/2026	4-5

2 individual witnesses have been identified from the list above.

WITNESS STATEMENT					
Criminal Procedure Rules, r 27. 2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s. 9; Magistrates' Courts Act 1980, s.5B					
Statement of: Oliver BABINGTON WILSON	URN <table border="1" style="display: inline-table; width: 100px; height: 20px;"><tr><td style="width: 25%;"></td><td style="width: 25%;"></td><td style="width: 25%;"></td><td style="width: 25%;"></td></tr></table>				
Age if under 18: Over 18 <i>(if over 18 insert 'over 18')</i> Occupation: Writer					
This statement (consisting of 2 page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.					
Witness Signature: Date: 27/05/2026					

This statement refers to the day when my ex-partner turned up outside the front gate of my house and attempted to get into my property.

My ex-partner is called Sam ROBINSON-ADAMS. I have known him for approximately FIVE (5) years. I met him through mutual friends and then began texting him. Over the years, it progressed into a relationship, however in APRIL 2026 I cut all contact with him.

The gate at the front of my house only allows access to my property. The gate leads into a small front garden in front of my house. To open the gate, you require a key or someone with access to the app to unlock it electronically.

On WEDNESDAY 27 MAY 2026 at 0947 hours, SAM emailed me saying "OLI, I AM GOING TO VISIT THE TWO OF YOU TO DISCUSS THIS. I WOULD APPRECIATE IF YOU COULD BREAK THE HABIT OF A LIFETIME AND FACE UP TO YOUR OWN CHOICES. BEST, SAM". I did not reply to this email as I get frequent emails from him. I did not hear any more from him on this day until approximately 2150 hours when my ring doorbell rang repetitively. I then checked the app and saw SAM ringing the bell. I could then see on the camera that SAM was fiddling around with the latch on the gate.

At 2215 hours, my housemates mother went onto the app and spoke to SAM through the doorbell. She said something along the lines of "THERE ARE TWO POLICE REPORTS AGAINST YOU. PLEASE LEAVE THE PROPERTY IMMEDIATLEY." SAM continued to ring the bell and then at approximately 2221 hours, I saw on the camera that SAM climbed up onto the gate and was looking over the gate and trying to unlock the gate from the inside. This the caused the gate to swing open. SAM did not walk onto the property, however he stood there and continued to ring the bell. I strongly believe that this was a way to try and intimidate me and my housemates. This is when I phoned the police as I was worried about what he was going to do next.

Between 2150 hours and 2234 hours, when police arrived, SAM had rung the bell approximately SIXTY FIVE (65) times, despite being told to leave.

I did not give SAM permission to turn u outside m house and intimidate me.

Witness Signature:

Signature Witnessed by Signature:.....

Continuation of Statement of:

I would describe SAM as an IC4 male, aged 29 years old. He is of an average height and a slim build. He has brown hair and a dark brown oatee st le beard. SAM was wearing a white t-shirt and a navy overshirt jacket. [Redacted]

Witness Signature: ... [Redacted]

Signature Witnessed by Signature:.....

WITNESS STATEMENT	
Criminal Procedure Rules, r 27. 2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s. 9; Magistrates' Courts Act 1980, s.5B	
URN	<input style="width: 100px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>
Statement of: Oliver BARBINGTON WILSON	
Age if under 18: Over 18 <i>(if over 18 insert 'over 18')</i> Occupation: N/A	
This statement (consisting of 1 page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything which I know to be false or likely to be true.	
Witness Signature:	Date: 28/05/2026

This is a further statement. I make this statement regarding incidents involving Samuel Robinson Adams.

On 12th April 2026, I met Samuel Robinson Adams outside Stoke Newington Overground Station. We were seated on a bench. The purpose of the meeting was to have a calm discussion, and I made it clear at the outset that I wanted to keep the conversation simple and polite. During this meeting, ADAMS began asking me repeatedly to provide him access to my personal medical data, specifically relating to my blood glucose monitoring application. I suffer from Type 1 diabetes, and he was asking me to share this information with him. I refused and asked him to stop pushing the issue. I also told him to stop trying to control me and to behave normally. During the interaction, ADAMS was holding a phone in his hand. He was standing very close to me. Without warning, he struck me to the face with the phone. The impact was to the area above my left eyebrow. This caused a cut which bled, as well as bruising. I still have a small scar from this injury. I later used makeup to conceal the injury for approximately a week. Following this incident, ADAMS continued to contact me repeatedly by email. The volume of communication was excessive, often between 10 and 15 emails per day. These emails included abusive content and allegations about me and people associated with me. He made threats to contact my landlord and falsely alleged that I was involved in criminal activity. He also contacted members of my family and extended family, including my mother and other relatives, sending them distressing messages. On one occasion in April 2026, Adams attended my home address after ringing the doorbell. I initially opened the gate believing it may have been a delivery. When I saw it was Adams, I closed the door and returned inside. My housemate then went to the gate and told Adams to leave. ADAMS did not leave immediately and began speaking further before eventually walking away. I have made it clear to ADAMS on multiple occasions that I do not want any contact with him. I sent formal messages, including cease-and-desist requests, asking him to stop contacting me. Despite this, he has continued to contact me persistently.

Witness Signature: Page 1 of 1

Signature Witnessed by Signature:

WITNESS STATEMENT

Criminal Procedure Rules, r 27. 2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s. 9; Magistrates' Courts Act 1980, s.5B

URN

--	--	--	--

Statement of: Heather AGATHER


Age if under 18: Over 18 *(if over 18 insert 'over 18')* Occupation: Self Employed

This statement (consisting of 2 page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Witness Signature:  Date: 27/05/2026

From above person this statement is in relation to the incident whereby I witnessed my sons friend stalker outside my home address. In this statement I will refer to my son's friend Oliver BABINGTON WILSON, I will refer to him as OLIVER. I will also refer to his stalker Samuel ROBINSON ADAMS, in this statement I will refer to him as SAMUEL.

I have known OLIVER for two (2) and a half year and he resides with us. I have been aware of issues that OLIVER have had with a person whom he would describe as a Stalker since FEBRUARY around three (3) months, around one (1) month ago this started affecting my family. I would describe SAMUELS behaviour as Stalking, OLIVER has clearly stated the behaviour is unwanted and I can tell it causes him both fear and distress and to our family.

On WEDNESDAY 27th MAY 2026 around 2145hours, I was at home with OLIVER and my family at  when we heard our ring doorbell. We have a camera covering the area outside our gate and we were not expecting anyone. We checked the camera and saw that it was SAMUEL stood outside. I told OLIVER and everyone else in the house to not engage with him, I decided to wait until 2215hours to see if he would leave on his own accord. He rang a total of sixty-four (64) times during half an hour.

I eventually at 2215hours answered the call through my phone saying the words to the effect of "YOU ARE HARRASSING US YOU NEED TO LEAVE YOU HAVE A POLICE REPORT AGAINST YOU" SAMUEL did not seem affected by the possibility of police, SAMUEL instead responded "DID YOU KNOW OLIVER WAS CHEATING ON ME I DID NOT"

At 2221hours SAMUEL can be seen on camera jumping up on the wooden gate, we could hear it inside the house and see him on the camera. It put us in fear of OLIVERs safety, thinking that SAMUEL would attempt to gain access and I called the police due to fearing for our safety.

Witness Signature: 

Signature Witnessed by Signature:

Continuation of Statement of:

This incident has affected me and my family. SAMUEL was stood outside our house for half an hour. He was asked several times to leave, we informed him his presence, and his behaviour was unwanted, and he would not listen.

SAMUEL appeared to be repeating words about OLIVER cheating on him, although it is hard to hear exactly what he is saying. He did not listen to any warnings about us wanting him to leave and despite being told on numerous occasions that he is not welcome, that his behaviour is unwanted and that it puts OLIVER in fear. I am concerned that further incidents will take place and that it will escalate.

SAMUEL knows where we live, he has turned up uninvited and have not left despite being told several times to and this has caused OLIVER and our family distress. I am in fear of what SAMUEL may do to OLIVER should they come in contact again as I find SAMUEL to be unpredictable and dangerous.

This incident lasted thirty (30) minutes, our camera is of good quality, and I there is no obstruction of the camera nor is it broken I had a clear view of SAMUEL the whole time. The visibility is good despite it getting darker as the camera has a night setting. I know SAMUEL through OLIVER, I have not met him before, but I know what he looks like and due to his behaviour, I would recognise him again. I have written this statement less than hour after the incident and it is fresh in my memory.

I would describe SAMUEL as an IC4 male, slim, with dark hair. In his late twenties (20). During this incident he was wearing a white t-shirt and a blue jacket.

I have twenty one (21) clips four (4) photos from our ring doorbell camera in relation to this incident which I have given to police I exhibit these as HAA/01 HAA/02 HAA/03 HAA/04 HAA/05 HAA/06 HAA/07 HAA/08 HAA/09 HAA/10 HAA/11 HAA/12 HAA/13 HAA/14 HAA/15 HAA/16 HAA/17 HAA/18 HAA/19 HAA/20 HAA/21 HAA/22 HAA/23 HAA/24 HAA/25

Witness Signatur 

Signature Witnessed by Signature:.....

CROWN PROSECUTION SERVICE

Rex

v

Samuel William Howard ROBINSON-ADAMS

Key Exhibits

LIST OF EXHIBITS

URN: 

Rex
v

Samuel William Howard ROBINSON-ADAMS

No	Exhibit Ref No	Description	Page
----	----------------	-------------	------

CROWN PROSECUTION SERVICE

Rex

v

Samuel William Howard ROBINSON-ADAMS

Transcripts ABE interviews

CROWN PROSECUTION SERVICE

Rex

v

Samuel William Howard ROBINSON-ADAMS

Streamlined Forensic Reports

CROWN PROSECUTION SERVICE

Rex

v

Samuel William Howard ROBINSON-ADAMS

Pre Cons

PNC down at the time of completing remand file:

NO TRACE